

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
1	1st Ave N	A waste of money. FYI they are called sidewalks.
2	1st Ave S	While there may have been interest interpreted as support in the early stages of the project, the community has demonstrated repeatedly that it is strongly against this project.
3	2nd Ave N	There is a better way than cutting thru people's properties (I do not own, I rent).
4	3rd Ave N	We have the beach to ride bikes on, 1st street as well, we don't need it. No. It's a waste of taxpayer money. 100 % Apposed.
5	3rd Ave N	The trail in North Jacksonville Beach is too close together and isn't of benefit to have the trails right next to each other. In 15 block radius you have 3 trails and only in in South Jacksonville Beach. You are ruining the property values in North Jax and it is unnecessary. The streets are already tight on those streets you can barely get two big SUVs to drive down the street at the same time and you are going to make it more complicated by adding a gross giant sidewalk. People don't want that in their yard. It is an invasion of privacy and looks tacky/Daytona Beach like. You are taking away green space and adding more concrete. It will look really cheap. We really don't need to make the beaches more tacky and crowded.
6	3rd Ave N	Just too invasive on many people's property. It doesn't affect my property directly but it does on many of my Jax Beach neighbors. I'm sure there will be plenty of property owners that will park their cars on it and block it for people use, just like they do on the sidewalks now.
7	3rd Ave N	The current plan is not an urban trail, it is extended sidewalks that are encroaching on homeowners. 4th Ave N makes no sense....there's a crosswalk at Penman, a light at A1A and sidewalks. Along with several 4 way stops that are not enforced. Want safer routes? Enforce current laws on what we currently have.
8	4th Ave N	More pavement more heat. More motorized bikes that don't care about pedestrians. Sidewalk we have is sufficient what the contractor is selling doesn't benefit the community. We are not an urban city but a suburb of a city.
9	4th Ave N	Not logical / logistical. Will cause growth development issues and upset local residents with setbacks and less privacy.
10	4th Ave N	I do not feel it is good or right for the city. It places an undue maintenance burden on homeowners as well as bringing unwanted traffic near our house. There are also problems with e-bikes and golf carts that will be using the system whether allowed or not.
11	4th Ave N	Retrofitting it into already tight streets and running it through established yards on "select streets" is not feasible. Shortening driveways to accommodate the trail will force more street parking on the affected streets. Why not simply widen the streets to include the existing sidewalks where it does fit.
12	4th Ave N	I do not want a trail in my front yard. PERIOD!!!!
13	4th Ave N	Poor explanation of plan. At previous meeting, person assigned to our corner couldn't answer any questions. Who is going to deal with maintenance? Are we hiring more police to patrol? Who will control the homeless? Are you adding lights to the trail? Why pick a busy busy road- likely increase in peds vs autos, why such a wide path? What will you do about current owners who need the space in their driveway for 4 cars?
14	4th Ave N	It will ruin the character and value of the neighborhood... it will be unsafe for everyone... bikes and e-bikes especially... it will also promote crime.... We are already lacking police presence when needed. Will also create more trash and maintenance that the taxpayers will be forced to pay.
15	4th Ave N	Would be taking our parking for our home only room for two cars now as it is.
16	4th Ave N	Very inconvenient also takes up space for trees.
17	4th Ave N	Taking away from our property, trees that are established, unshaded asphalt is not a trail, this takes away from our quaint Beach community. Nature is important to some of us.
18	4th Ave N	4th Ave N already has heavy car traffic. I feel it would be safer to build walking paths down a road with less car traffic.
19	4th Ave N	I don't think it's needed where it's "proposed". There are plenty of streets that can be used for this same purpose. If anything, they should do this urban trail on 3rd street giving more way to bike riders and such, making it safer. They should add speed bumps on 4th Ave N, and likely other streets, as people speed down this road like crazy. Safety should be considered and explored first before adding more foot traffic to this area.
20	4th Ave N	It is paving far too wide of a path for unnecessary bike paths onto small lots that were not designed to have a huge path. People will still use the streets. Walkways can be improved and add one foot or add multiple paths on each street or next to businesses (1st Ave N) but not where the yards are already small. .
21	4th Ave N	Do not feel this system is needed throughout the entire Jax Beach area as proposed.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
22	4th Ave N	I think it's a fine idea, but my concern is that we are trying to fit it in an area that does not have room to accommodate it—specifically speaking about 4th Ave N. Our end of 4th Ave is already dense with newer construction that had variances allowed when they built. The urban trail will make some driveways too short to accommodate a regular sized vehicle. Because of the density, we are routinely seeing lots of cars parked across the existing sidewalk and parked in solid lines on side streets, with just neighborhood traffic. It's already a safety concern, which would be compounded by extra pedestrian, bike and electric bike/golf cart traffic.
23	4th Ave N	1) Waste of money 2) Waste maintainance resouces long term 3) Safety Hazard leaving driveway 4) Safety hazard for drivers and users of pathway. 5) Not realistically needed we have sidewalk that works 6) Privacy issues of strangers closer to our car and house. 7) Devalued Property 8) Lose small private beach community feel 9) Enviromental waste 10) Non-Ecofriendly-Ground coverage 11) More crime from homeless camps like south Florida 12) Disruption needlessly.
24	4th Ave N	I think some parts of the project could be beneficial but overall do not think that there was enough input from citizens as most of the initial meetings were held during Covid, I am sure that if more due diligence was done and more citizens consulted this plan would have failed. Also, many people choose to ride golf carts, electric bikes, and scooters and don't see the benefits of paving over people yards and pending 15 million to gain 4 extra feet of sidewalk, most of which is not even heavily utilized today. In particular I think 4th Ave N is an unsafe commuter road that has heavy traffic and excessive, uncontrolled speeding that I have wrote 4 times on and have seen 0 improvement on the road.
25	4th Ave N	The trail does not need to go though neighborhoods, this will cause problems with parking, water mitigation as well as destruction of properties and take away much tree coverage. This will have a negative impact on the quality of life in the neighborhoods that are affected.
26	4th Ave N	This proposal will drastically take up a huge portion of my front yard, including removing parking and it established trees
27	4th Ave N	It would bring people right up into my yard as well as some of the other residents and too close to our front doors. It also would take away from my drive way and were I can park and where others can park. People already don't use the sidewalks enough to build it in my opinion. There is a sidewalk Also, the current urban trail states that homeowners will be responsible for the maintenance. Homeowners do not want to be responsible for the city's urban trails.
28	4th Ave N	There's too much cement around the beach already. Drainage is still an issue. The trail going through neighborhoods makes no sense, it's not like people are going to be riding on a historical house tour or anything exciting. It will also take up too much land of people that have purchased homes under completely different conditions. Cost way too much money!! I took my highschooler to the emergency room last year, and they determined he was suffering from heat stroke. Heat stroke from Fletcher High school, because nobody will turn on the AC!!! Spend that money on the education of our youth & their comfort/HEALTH while in school. Spend that money on Moore neighborhood, gatherings like festivals, pop-up tent sales, The Christmas lifeguard Stands, Movies, AND more police to decrease speeds and increase safety of all. Nobody wants the trail, it will never be used. Spend the money on things residences need/want, please!
29	4th Ave N	A few years ago the city spent a lot of money installing a sidewalk on 4th Ave. N. This has turned out to be a huge waste of money as very few people utilize the sidewalk. Most people run, walk or bike on the street. The proposed Urban Trail will be another waste of tax payer money.
30	4th Ave N	I don't think it's right to dig up and shorten residences driveways and lawns. We already have a side walk, no need to make it bigger. I think it's gonna be an accident waiting to happen also. People are going to get hurt or hit by a backing up car. There are too many driveways and street crossings.
31	4th Ave N	I like the idea but not for it to be in my front door, I don't have a clear idea how wide the trail will be and how far in will be in my front lawn.
32	4th Street N	You're trying to modernize a city that is already packed full, last thing we need is more construction on a stupid idea, money can be spent other places. Gonna be a homeless guys paradise.
33	5th Ave N	I like the way things are and I think we could spend our money elsewhere.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
34	5th Ave N	I do like the idea of trails, particularly North and South however, I despise the idea of taking large swaths of a persons green space in their front yard to do it. Turning someone's yard space into a concrete slab detracts from useable area to enjoy, generates additional heat, takes away from scenery, negatively affects drainage, and decreases home value...even if it is on the city easement. If the city is to move forward with this, the total width of the trail should be minimized. If the original width of the plan were to remain, I would encourage all affected citizens to park across the paths in protest.
35	5th Ave N	Some of the streets the Jax Beach City Council proposing to use for the urban trails have homes with small lots. Taking up a significant portion of a homeowner's front lawn for a trails project that seems to be only requested by the City Council is ridiculous. Limit the trails to the larger lots/ streets, this doesn't need to be as large as the original plans layed out. You guys should really be focusing money on the police force with the increased traffic and crime we have now with all the new development.
36	5th Ave N	More important projects need funding. Unnecessary and unwanted.
37	5th Ave N	Many people's yards are too small as it is to be covered in additional concrete. The e-bikes are rampant and the only reason they're not on the sidewalks as much now is because they're smaller properly sized sidewalks. Ebikes are all over the large sidewalks in nocatee and it is downright dangerous.
38	5th Ave N	Need a couple more traffic lights on Penman, especially 4th Avenue North. Citizens cannot pull out onto Penman Rd as it is. Roundabouts will only cause a continuous flow of traffic and will make it impossible to access Penman Rd.
39	5th Ave S	i do not think it helps residents and is more of an invitation for people who do not live here to cause issues for residents.
40	5th Ave S	Waste of tax payers money. We already have sidewalks. Taking people's yards when space is already a premium at the beach.
41	6th Ave N	Jacksonville Beach has one of the best beaches to ride , walk and relax in nature on. The neighborhoods are being overdeveloped and becoming crowded. This trail will open residents to unwelcome noise, littering and in general a loss of privacy. The city has no right to make these decisions. We pay taxes, and have no vote in this trail through our backyards and throughout our community. Stop taking the money and leaving no green spaces ! I think it's corrupt.
42	6th Ave N	Too much foot/bike traffic in neighborhoods where people enjoy their privacy and look out for the safety of their children without a bunch of strangers walking/riding past.
43	6th Ave N	There seems to be no need for this. Our goal as a community should be to encourage less transient traffic in our neighborhoods and enforce speed limits and overall traffic safety. The Jax Beach neighborhoods have always been conducive to safe pedestrian and bicycle traffic. Development of an urban trail could bring more traffic to our peaceful neighborhoods and create an environment for crime and homeless to flourish.
44	6th Ave N	Waste of time and money that should be used towards ill maintained public facilities and towards combating the homeless population that is growing.
45	6th ave N	I oppose as it currently stands.
46	6th Ave N	I think the urban Trail is a waste of our taxpayer money! We have many roads that need to be repaired/repaved. No matter which direction I approach my house from off of the main roads I have to drive over gravel patches in the road. The money would be better spent fixing our roads. I also feel like imthe Urban Trails project is inviting the crime that we see in the downtown area to infiltrate into our neighborhoods. We walk/bike a lot in our neighborhood and see no need to provide paths that are specifically designed for that.
47	6th Ave S	I think that although walkability and bike ability are important, this is not the way to go about it. The larger priority for walkability is more crosswalks/crossing points on 3rd st and penman
48	6th Ave S	It's unnecessary, expensive, intrusive, and environmentally unsound.
49	7th Ave N	my street doesnt even have a sidewalk, and i spend my days seeing people speed down the street my kids are playing nearby. lets just start with sidewalks.... Also, an urban trail will just become a motocross track for electric bikes
50	7th Ave N	It's not needed. It will devalue property. It's a waste of money. It will cost money to maintain and our city currently needs maintenance that's not being done.
51	7th Ave N	Costly project but people will not make enough use of it to justify the cost
52	7th Ave N	Infringing on the yards and right away of homes does not sit well with me. We must maintain and upgrade our existing infrastructure before we put in luxury items.
53	7th Ave S	Too much concrete, we already have enough.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
54	7th Ave S	The proposed trails are an unnecessary expense that will see little use and require excessive tax dollars for maintenance and initial build-out. It will also invade residential areas during construction, congest roadways and could lead to a greater number of pedestrian-car accidents. The financing for the project is also very unclear and appears to be proposed in an effort to obtain federal funds that would otherwise be unavailable. Funding from the federal government usually, if not always, comes with some obligation to the city that is buried in fine print that the average resident is unaware of but may negatively impact and go against the wishes of the local constituency.
55	8th Ave N	I feel the city needs to concentrate on repairing the existing problems with sidewalks already installed. I would like to know and feel safe here that I no longer have that security. Petty theft runs rampant '.
56	8th Ave N	I see some overall value in a project, but it seems like the design is overbuilt for a city our size. Some routes are unnecessary because roads already have low traffic and people walk them daily without issues. I'm concerned that it's more than residents will actually use, but we'll be stuck indefinitely with costs to maintain the paths.
57	8th Ave N	We are not in favor of such a large trail running through the residential areas of Jax Beach. When driveways are reduced, vehicles will be forced onto the streets, creating a more dangerous situation. We have flooding issues already, and removing so many large trees and greenscape will cause additional flooding and heat and destroy the aesthetics of this community. We need more trees, we should not be taking down and destroying the ones we have. Ebikes will use the trails, again causing safety issues. Property values will also be impacted by those directly on the path. The trail is a horrible idea in such a small beach town. Trails of this size are never run through residential communities and the residents are disgusted that this is being forced on them by the city government.
58	8th Ave N	Cause increased people on my street. Yards are small and will decrease driveway space.
59	8th Ave N	The beach is too smart for such a massive projects
60	8th Ave N	If the City would properly maintain the existing sidewalks we wouldn't need it.
61	8th Ave N	Too much blacktop/concrete. Cutting down too many trees/shrubs. Some people will loose their whole front yard and parking areas. Most people go to the beach the closest way possible near their home.
62	8th Ave N	Primarily, I feel Jax Beach does not need any more concreted surfaces adding to our very real existing drainage/flooding issues. Why not just install keens along the existing sidewalks to make them safer. Secondly, I feel this is a colossal waste of residents money that could be better directed. I would approve additional lighted pedestrian crossings such as now exist on Penman to increase safety.
63	8th Ave N	Taxes are extreme already. Money could be spent in better ways.
64	8th Ave N	There is no need for additional tarmac and concrete. I rarely see streets packed with pedestrians.
65	8th Ave N	We have enough traffic at the beach and don't need to attract more
66	8th Ave N	Dangerous to put it over driveways. I feel it will be easier for someone to get hit. People don't use the sidewalks now. Surface will still be hot for pets. It encourages more traffic in neighborhoods which could lead to more crime, less privacy, and less safe
67	8th Ave N	I don't think it needs to be on the back roads. Only on the main roads. You're proposing a 6 to 8 foot sidewalk trail. They're putting in a sidewalk system on Beach Boulevard that does not match the size that the trail would be. It's just a normal sidewalk. The roads in the back neighborhoods all need repaired but prior to that they need good drainage and curbs put in! That would be money well spent
68	8th Ave N	We have a sidewalk on 8th Avenue North. This "Urban Trail" would put people walking and whatever across the street from the sidewalk right in front of my home. This is a residential neighborhood with more seniors than young people. We DON'T need people walking in front of our homes next to our fences and on our grass. Whoever thinks up these projects and ideas need to be replaced.
69	9th Ave N	Seems like a great way to safely explore the city
70	9th Ave N	Worried I will lose my driveway and yard
71	9th Ave N	While I support making our streets safer for bicyclists, I don't agree with the proposed plans that bring paved bike paths through established neighborhoods that were not designed for them, and don't need them. We regularly bike around the beaches, and never have issues west of 3rd St. The expense to build and maintain them could be used elsewhere. We need to fix potholes and sidewalks in disrepair and address speeding issues on certain streets (Adding stop signs or speed humps). The bike paths will have an environmental impact with adding that much asphalt or concrete, as well as necessary lighting. How will drainage be affected, especially if the trails go through existing drainage rights of way? This will be a bigger inconvenience for the residents who's property will be affected than a benefit for cyclists. There is also the issue of e-bikes and safety on the trails. Jax Beach PD is already stretched thin, so who will enforce the rules? Also, who will clean up the inevitable trash that will end up on the paths?
72	9th Ave N	Current city issues need to be addressed before trying to attract more ppl. Safety, trash and crime are already an issue.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
73	9th Ave N	Safety concerns. No one follows rules anymore. No one stops at stop signs, no one goes speed limit and bikers don't have common sense either it seems.
74	9th Ave N	We already have a side walk and a open road no need for this
75	9th Ave N	Approximately 30 miles of blacktop in a flood zone is a bad idea. Our current infrastructure doesn't drain well with heavy rains and flash floods. Upkeep will be a joke, the city doesn't maintain the few sidewalks we have now. Pretty theft will increase with people being so close to houses.
76	9th Ave N	It's taking properties that don't want it and we're never given the opportunity to properly see what was planned and never had an opportunity to vote on it
77	9th Ave N	Jax Beach is a beach town with many safe options for local families to ride, walk and run. The locals are not for the city taking their land and increasing the traffic in neighbor hoods. The ROI is not justified as there are many other options to improve Jax Beach. Due diligence was not done at the inception of this project.
78	9th Ave N	We need to fix our streets, sidewalks and maintain speed by vehicles and safety. Put in more sidewalks if needed. Don't channel more traffic down my already busy street. Plaza off 9th Ave/ 3rd will be crazy with more on foot traffic to dodge.
79	9th Ave N	Just not well planned, presented or logistically laid out
80	9th Ave N	Completely unneeded.
81	10th Ave S	With the current proposal it's going through my yard and having it so far from 1st Street seems pointless for tourism or safety. Havingore safety when crossing 3rd Street for pedestrian would be more useful and extended 1st Street.
82	10th Ave S	The majority of residents affected by the Urban Trails Project were unaware of this project prior to it getting approved, and were not made aware that this was being discussed. It was passed without the public's input or vote, and I feel that it was handled in a real shady underhanded way.
83	11th Ave N	it will bring too much additional pedestrian, bike traffic to an area that hasn't handled other growth well yet.
84	11th Ave S	Not necessary. Money could be used better. Disruption to neighborhoods.
85	11th Ave S	Too much spending and upsetting residents with the current plans and cost north to south makes sense but east to west not necessary
86	13th Ave N	Need more residents ideas on the pros & cons b4 decision is made
87	13th Ave N	More asphalt creating more flooding. More runoff from the asphalt. Asphalt just absorbs heat making everything hotter. You should try to fix all the flooded streets every time it rains.
88	13th Ave S	At this time I have not been able to get a good map and overview of the entire project. I do not know how many total miles it will be. I read the cost of the project is 14 million, but I haven't seen any information on maintenance cost per year after it is built. I also do not think to many people would use it. We are a beach community. People like to walk on the beach. I lived in a city with a multi-use trail, the bikers were in the way of the walkers, the skateboard kids were a menace and people with strollers tended to take up the whole path.
89	13th Ave S	The path of the urban trail as proposed would take it right through my yard on 6th st. south!! I have lived here over 25 yrs. I do nt want the path right in front of my house. I do not think the urban path should disturb residential areas, especially not in my neighborhood!!!!
90	13th Ave S	Too much property owned by residences will be affected and with little benefit for the overall population as well as the costs. Changes to roadways and existing sidewalks should be made to enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety without adversely effecting property owners and minimizing costs.
91	13th Ave S	We need to fix existing roads/sidewalks before creating new ones. Streets/Avenues on southside of Beach Blvd are falling apart. 12th Ave South park is also falling apart. Northside infrastructure is just as bad.
92	14th Ave N	Too much concrete! Update and repair existing sidewalks and cross walks. Sounds a little sneaky how it's being rolled out. Don't do it! As a taxpayer and homeowner, I adamantly oppose this.
93	14th Ave N	Decrease property values
94	14th Ave N	Invasive to neighborhoods Likely to encourage theft and property damage Unlikely city will maintain the path
95	14th Ave N	Out neighborhood is old from the 1950s. The trail would take a significant portion of people's front yards and would require old trees to be removed. Why take away green space? The kids are getting to school just fine.
96	14th Ave N	Destruction of private property and neighborhoods which already have bicyclists riding throughout them
97	14th Ave N	Too invasive
98	14th Ave S	Regardless of a place to walk, I do not feel safe walking, especially at night.
99	14th Ave S	Other areas of city need attention like existing roads and adding sidewalks on all the avenues. Also, concerned it will become a highway for e-bikes.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
100	15th Ave N	The city is going about the trail all wrong. First off, the city hired someone from Gainesville to design the trails whom has no experience navigating the beaches. Just putting the trails where "they fit best" is a waste of resources. I walk & bike around the beaches DAILY (at least 5 miles a day), and i have never had an issue with East/ west movement, yet that is where the trails will first be put in. We need better north/ south corridors for pedestrians and bicyclists. Penman is a shit show with no shoulder and a tiny sidewalk that has 2" bumps every other section of sidewalk with a steep drop off into a ditch on one side. 10th Street has a tiny sidewalk that two pedestrians can barley get past each other going in opposite directions, let alone two bikers and the street has no shoulder for bikers. 3rd street has a sidewalk on both sides of the roadway, but its still a dangerous for walking and biking because cars are constantly pulling into shopping centers and turning onto the avenues without looking. 1st street is the only north/ south street in Jacksonville beach i feel safe on, but that's no help if i need to go somewhere on the west side of 3rd unless i want to really go out of my way. East/ west roads do not have nearly the same amount of traffic as the north/ south roads in Jacksonville beach, so the city should prioritize putting in 10' sidewalks on these streets. Although they lead to the beach, the bicycle and pedestrian traffic is much lighter on the east/ west streets because there is one almost every block that gets you all the way to and from the beach. Putting a 10' sidewalk of every 10 blocks or so going east and west will NOT be utilized like the city thinks it will. Additionally, the idea to add landscaping, trash cans, and street lights on the urban trails is a terrible idea. The city can barley maintain the current landscaping on city property, so maintenance will fall on homeowners whom man of dont take care of their own lawn. Look at the median on 3rd street, the city ripped out all the shrubs around beach blvd and now we have a dirt median (whose idea that was i have no idea but it was a stupid one and makes the area look like crap). Regarding trash cans, that will just lead to trash on peoples property near the trashcans (if people even use them). The trash on the street doesn't come for bikers and pedestrians out for a nice walk, it comes from people throwing their trash out their car windows and homeless cutting through communities from one tent camp to the next, those people are not looking for the nearest trash can to throw their trash away. Lastly, regarding street lights, look at Neptune Beach along 1st st., they have a single street light at each intersection over the street sign, not all along the road, this is a benefit in multiple ways, the single street light helps people navigate the area, but it keeps runners, bikers, and others away at nighttime and allows residents to have peace and quite. Additionally, it does not cause light pollution that keeps residents up at night. Lastly, the idea to make 1st street at some areas a one way road only makes sense from beach blvd to 6th North, any further north/ south in either direction and you are just causing headache for local residents. But if 1st becomes a one way road, that means 2nd needs to be a one way road in the opposite direction. On another note, the idea to add a urban trail in the ROW between Arden Way and Oakwood makes sense although it is behind peoples houses. With that trail, you get to be near home and dont have to travel far but get a trail along a shaded corridor of trees, people would use that, people don't need wider sidewalks where there are already sidewalks to go for a walk or bike ride in the blistering sun.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
101	15th Ave N	The proposed urban trails often go through dense neighborhoods. It'll take nearly half of my front yard. I've been on trails in several other cities that are fantastic! They are not in places even close to where they are planned in Jacksonville Beach! They are all on open greenways or in neighborhoods that have houses with large lots, garages, and long drives. The homes are not close together. Such neighborhoods were made with trails in mind. The majority of trails in other cities are ones that you ride out of the neighborhood to get on. Or drive to and park in access areas. I get putting them on thoroughfares, I do not get putting them through neighborhoods! People using the trails will pass so many driveways it'll be extremely dangerous. It will not increase safety, it will decrease safety! Views will be limited because of the short length of driveways. Yards will become parking lots, as there is not enough room to park two cars in some driveways. So many trees and landscaping will have to be cut down. The placard placed on our doors by the city were woefully inadequate in explaining what was happening. I scanned the QR code and looked at the plan, and thought, "This looks good. Looks like decent sidewalks. The sidewalks down our street are pathetic. They can stand to be replaced with quality workmanship!" I walk on the road because the sidewalks are so slanted and uneven. That's what we need, not 10 ft. trails with and additional 10 ft. of yard taking up with the 5 ft. of grass added on each side. I foresee Trails being put in, people will realizing how impractical and dangerous they are that they quit using them. It took an average citizen placing a letter on our door to alert us to the fact of what was really happening. There is no doubt my property value will decrease!
102	15th Ave N	It is very expensive, and unnecessary. It will not be utilized in the way it was presented. It would be an eyesore and decrease property values.
103	15th Ave N	This will reduce my property value and I work very hard to keep our lawn in excellent condition. I am concerned about the increased amount of traffic coming down our street that can cause security issues and littering. We have a small driveway and this would take away space for parking. The black asphalt is not conducive for walking dogs in the heat.
104	15th Ave N	15th Avenue North is already a busy road where traffic speeds through. The urban trail would make it even busier. It will bring an unwanted element through the residential areas. I don't want the noise of bar hoppers and drunk people going through late at night disturbing the peace and creating potential for accidents and criminal activity.
105	15th Ave N	This is not necessary it will not be utilized. Nobody will go out of their way to use it. Very expensive! Will be a hazard.
106	15th Ave N	Some parts may have value & other parts a waste of resources - Why would locals trust planners that have spent a fortune adding several hundred unnecessary islands with palm trees in front of many small businesses already suffering from lack of parking - much money spent on adding trees to the island on 15th ave north but no one bothered to actually view the project in person to see where existing trees were located - Even the company planting & maintaining the trees was amazed at this obvious oversight.....
107	15th Ave N	The city told us they would bring plans back to us this past spring that never happened & now we are getting this right after the election. I have no confidence in our elected officials to protect my property and our great Jacksonville Beach community.
108	15th Ave N	15th Ave N is a busy cut through street We don't need a lot of foot traffic
109	15th Ave N	I don't want a huge asphalt trail going through my front yard! I would not have purchased this house a few years ago had I known. Basic infrastructure like a concrete sidewalk would suffice. We also have sidewalks in need of repairs.
110	15th Ave N	We have plenty of sidewalks and pedestrian crossings already. It's a waste of money and will bring down property values of the homes along the path.
111	15th Ave N	Our current sidewalks and infrastructure are not maintained properly. I don't want something this invasive going down my street that may become an eyesore.
112	15th Ave N	I am not In favor of the trails because I think it's too much concrete, will make Jax Beach hotter, increase run off, increase crime and litter adjacent to my property. Also, the trails will adversely affect parking areas that residents have enjoyed for decades and it's not fair to do this: to take away their parking.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
113	15th Ave N	The Urban Trail is a terrible idea; sidewalks are much better. An eight-foot wide asphalt strip through front yards is not the answer. Dogs won't be able to walk on it during the summer and it takes away from already small driveways.
114	15th Ave N	Waste of money. Bad for the environment, a better project would be to plan how to mitigate environmental heat. Instead of cutting down trees. Maybe you should be spending that money planting more. Building more shaded spaces in our tourist attractions. Damaging to my property, and it's going to attract more traffic than I want on my street and with increased traffic there will be more opportunistic crime.
115	15th Ave N	It would cost too much money Jax Beach does not keep the common areas mowed and groomed. Very good at all. It would take a lot of my property. I don't want people that close to my house walk down 15th Ave. Police needs to slow down at Jacksonville Beach like Neptune Beach nobody cares.
116	15th Ave N	Traffic constant driving fast thru from Penman to 3rd st. (A1A. No one obeys speed now. People throw trash down...constantly collecting where blows in yard...etc.
117	15th Ave S	Will bring more traffic both vehicle & pedestrian to our already overcrowded area!
118	15th Ave S	I'm not fully opposed to it, I just do not want it running through my front yard. I think the city has mischaracterized what it is and what it will be to the citizens. It makes sense in certain proposed areas, but not in others. I split my time between Atlanta and Jacksonville Beach. My apartment in Atlanta is on the beltline. It 100% is a conduit for crime.
119	15th Ave S	Don't understand the need for it.
120	15th Ave S	Plan of this magnitude should have been planned prior to our community build out with homes, parks, businesses, and nonprofit organizations. It is costly. Why don't you improve existing areas ? I hope our community's voice is heard. You disregard our voice and built condos all up and down the beach despite the 35 foot law. That's why we wanted new people on city council. Good Lord this project will cost tremendous amounts of money and only benefit an outside developer. Too costly.
121	15th Ave S	I would not like increased traffic pedestrian, bicycle or otherwise in quiet neighborhoods. I can envision non-beach residents parking and taking urban trails through previously peaceful neighborhoods. I can envision beach and non-beach criminals planing to commit neighborhood crimes and using urban trails as an escape way in attempt to look like innocent trail goers.
122	15th Ave S	a. Most lots are extreemly small. 50x100 trail puts traffic very close to homes. b. Proposed lighting is nuisance and home owners have no decision power. c. It will become a highway for electric bike, which can travel 20 to 30mph.
123	16th Ave N	I see the benefits, but there are a few drawbacks I am concerned about creating a path for drunk people, or people with bad intentions coming into the neighborhood. Also, I walk my dog in the proposed area and I already have to look out for inconsiderate drivers, now I will also have to worry about inconsiderate cyclists especially e-bikes.
124	16th Ave N	As it is, we have sidewalks and the neighborhoods that these trails go thru are relatively quite for car traffic. I ride my bike frequently on the roads mentioned for the trail and never feel unsafe riding them. At times I can ride any of the streets mentioned and never encounter a car. It would also take away driveway/parking/yard space from home owners. You'd end up having more cars parked in streets which creates visibility issues...people/kids crossing streets... this is a huge safety issue. Financially, it increases taxes which keeps increasing anyway and forcing people who have lived here their whole lives to move. The Beaches are attractive because it has the small town feel but with what the council would like to force on the beach it becomes less desirable. ie. Bldg height increase, more bars, more hotels. No one wants it but the mayor and the politicians. Someone needs to audit their personal finances. I've not met a single local that wants any of these progressive proposals for the beaches.
125	16th Ave N	The roads are already constrained and taking right away on some of the roads (15th Ave n) would make things worst. Also don't feel the trail is needed.
126	16th Ave S	This will be in my front yard and I will have no parking! I DO NOT want this on my street! This will decrease my property value as no one wants to buy a house that has strangers walking through their front yard.
127	16th Ave S	Parking is already a problem. Most of the lots in Jacksonville Beach are 50' wide. The trail is not necessary. Repairing & expanding the sidewalks would be good enough. City work crews cannot keep up with maintenance now, without the added trail area to maintain. We've lived here 40 years.
128	17th Ave N	Owners are already restricted in paving on their property because of flooding. The trails will increase the paving excessively adding to the issue. There is also too many areas included in the proposed trail plan. The plan needs to be decreased to include only major connections.
129	17th Ave S	Don't really see a need for it.,
130	18th Ave N	Seems overdone and pointless seeing how what we currently have (simply walking down the road that has basically no traffic) has worked for years and is very safe. The number back up the safety with less than typical amount of incidents per capita.
131	18th Ave N	We have the beach parks and sidewalks for running walking or biking. Pur roads are overcrowded as it is.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
132	20th Ave S	The city has shown they are not good at maintaining city trees, sidewalks, etc. I wouldn't expect this project to be maintained properly and will probably not look good and be a liability only a few years if built.
133	26th Ave S	We have an extensive beach which provides ample space for tho wish to walk/run/bike. I believe that the construction of an extensive 8' wide trail system around Jax Beach will: A. Not be used as fully as many project, mainly because it involves neighborhoods vs shopping areas. B. 8' wide will require extensive property acquisition from homeowners and redesign of road width and traffic routing.
134	29th Ave S	Oppose - Currently, the City of Jacksonville Beach CANNOT maintain our current infrastructure. Examples of not maintained city properties would be: beach accesses, sidewalks, golf course bathrooms, the carver center, some street sign are missing or have overgrown vegetation. We do not need miles of concrete or asphalt- we have drainage problems as a city. Water pools in multiple places around the city. Urban trails are a good idea ONLY when planned ahead with new development. The idea that E-bikes can be controlled on Urban Trails is unrealistic.
135	29th Ave S	City does poor job of currently maintaining public spaces. Take a look at the Carver Center... it is filthy!! Beach accesses are not kept clean or mowed. Street signs need replacement. Drainage is poor in many areas. Adding more impervious surfaces impact the environment and increases flooding. Parking is already a problem, as are the growing number of electric bikes, scooters and skateboards that impact pedestrians and cars.
136	30th Ave S	I have lived here my entire life, I believe the urban trail system is unnecessary.
137	33rd Ave S	It would encroach on our already tiny yards. These old neighborhoods, with small yards and high tax bills, shouldn't have urban trails running through them. We are on top of each other already. An urban trail through here is like trying to fit a square peg in a round hole!
138	34th Ave S	waste of money would not be used. No need or chance of use to justify expense or inconvenience to homeowners.
139	35th Ave S	The expense & disruption to existing drive ways and currently installed infrastructure (electric, water service, traffic conflicts, etc. ,across 3dt street will cause considerable disruption and damage to home values. For example, the shortening of existing driveways on some streets that will shorten the small existing d1veway too short to park on in front of the existing garage w/o infringing onto the trail.
140	35th Ave S	There is no need for the urban trail in the older legacy neighborhoods--they simply were not designed for this. If someone need to ride their bike or walk they can use the street.Honestly this should not even be a discussion ie... taking peoples yards etc for a sidewalk!! The reality is 80% of Americans are overweight, 40% are obese & 50% of kids are overweight thus they are walking or exercising so no need for this!!
141	36th Ave S	It's fixing a problem that DOES NOT EXIST. The trail will be overrun by teens on electric bikes, which will cause residents to complain to the police, which will waste resources. Additionally, homeowners along the trail will purposely block the trail since their driveways will be taken away from them, which will cause trail riders to call police, which will waste resources. IT'S A BAD IDEA. It's a great idea for a town that has not been built yet. Think Nocatee. But Jax Beach is established, and we don't need this. No one asked for this.
142	36th Ave S	It's hard enough to get out of my neighborhood. What about all the extra noise and trash? Do any of the council members who voted for this project have a home that will lose half of its yard? Have small children who play outside? You are inviting strangers closer to your home. To your family. Not that most people aren't good, but they are strangers. You lose the comfort of letting your children play up and down your street. Most of us are lucky enough to be able to live in a neighborhood of our choosing. A place we chose because we feel safe and comfortable. We know the people around us and where our children can play. All that will be gone.
143	37th Ave S	The objective of the trail seems noble, however fitting a one size fits all approach into a uniquely diverse set of circumstances is not appropriate.
144	37th Ave S	Our residence has so much foot traffic, bike traffic, and cars already, I don't think it is safe to have a trail for even more people. Sometimes it is difficult just to pull out of our driveway safely due to the congestion of people, bikes (electric and non-electric), and cars. Having a trail to enable more people to come to this section of the beach is unsafe and impractical.
145	37th Ave S	Urban trails are wonderful when planned for before development. We have spent time, energy, and our hard earned money to purchase our home, renovate it with city permits, landscape the yard, light the yard, irrigate the yard, and our neighbors have enjoyed the improvements as well as the public who access the beach from our street. For it to be demolished to install a wide sidewalk in a shallow front yard to begin with does not make sense. I would much rather have a resident parking plan initiated for 1st Street so that residents have priority parking vs guests from elsewhere parking for beach access

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
146	37th Ave S	Eminent domain, or whatever legal name the city would be using to move the property lines, will be met swiftly with ADA rebuttals. Multiple neighbors require the length of the driveways and decreasing it would severely impact them. Without the consent of the residents, this endeavor is frivolous and inadequately addresses any concerns we actually have. It is unnecessary and a waste of public resources that would be better suited elsewhere - like having a crosswalk at Ponte Vedra Blvd and 37th, or to include golf-cart parking and better bike access at the 37th Ave beach ramp.
147	37th Ave S	Opposed as currently planned. Would be favorable to one with reasonable changes and not going through my front yard.
148	37th Ave S	I don't want a trail that takes up existing fronts of yards. They keep saying this is like the Pinellas Trail. I enjoyed that trail for years, but the city doesn't approach existing homes for the trail. Only businesses and wide streets were involved on the old railroad tracks. This is not the case here. They should have planned better many years ago. They didn't and it shouldn't be our problem now. We may purchased a home here knowing this and made the choice to do so anyway. No retrofit should be made to appease those whom it doesn't affect. If it doesn't affect you, you shouldn't have the ability to have an opinion here. It will negatively impact my homes value, not increase.
149	37th Ave S	Not right for our long time established neighborhoods
150	37th Ave S	We have enough foot traffic and biking on and around our street and neighborhood.
151	37th Ave S	The UT is trying to retrofit a path through neighborhoods, tearing up what little lawns we have, bringing unwanted traffic and potential crime here.
152	37th Ave S	Lived in Pinellas County when they put in a trail and was all for it. They promised it would raise our property values. It sounded like a good idea and I liked biking on it at first. Then the novelty wore off - not just for me but for most people and usage went way down. People do not maintain their properties next to the trail, so there is that. Also, sadly, it reduced our property value and it caused crime in my neighborhood to go way up as the criminals used it as a quick and easy way to get in and out of the neighborhoods. My house wasn't smack-dab on the trail, but a house or two away but TWICE I came home from work to find that I had been robbed - twice, both times in the middle of the workday!!! And, no, insurance does not cover the cost to replace everything they steal. Then there are the rowdy drunk people who come through after dark and wake you up with music or loud conversations. After a couple years, I finally ended up selling the home for less than comparable homes in nearby neighborhoods and moving to a neighborhood far away from the stupid trails. Sounds like a great to the people who will not be living near it. In reality it is a nightmare for the people who do live near the trail.
153	37th Ave S	The proposed 8' sidewalks would greatly impact my property by decreasing front lawn and driveway square footage which is already limited. The neighborhood was never zoned correctly for pedestrian traffic and lot sizes are too small to add sidewalks. Traffic flow that includes cars, bikes, runners, skateboarders, e-bikes, etc. is already at an annoying pace making it difficult to back out of my driveway. Adding additional pedestrian right of ways will only make it more dangerous.
154	1st Street N	Don't take grass
155	1st Street N	Brings more people to an already overcrowded beach, possible increase in traffic, crime, noise pollution
156	1st Street N	Not needed. Sidewalks are less expensive and just as useful; but less intrusive on properties..
157	1st Street N	I do not think it will be used enough to compensate for the loss of property value to those directly affected and the considerable disruption to the neighborhoods involved.
158	1st Street N	1-Unnecessary disruption from modifying sidewalks and streets 2-Unnecessary expenditure of city funds 3-There are many other issues on which the city leadership could better spend their time and the city's funds.
159	1st Street N	There are other priorities that would benefit from the money. It won't get used that much. Not worth it. People enjoy riding their bikes on first st. Bikers also dont obey Stop signs.
160	1st Street N	Current plan too over reaching & will impact/hinder resident access to property & privacy. Otherwise a descaled plan will enhance life at the beach.
161	1st Street S	The urban trail plan should've been designed before the homes were built in Jacksonville Beach. Installing a urban Trail will now disrupt too many neighborhoods, privately owned yard space, and privately owned landscaping.
162	1st Street S	Not needed, waste of money. Infringement on properties. No enforcement of existing traffic and pedestrian laws. Streets and alleys still unpaved. Not beneficial to the Ja Beach citizens just visiting users. Too much crime already didn't need more avenues for criminal element. Lack of transparency by city. I could go on!

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
163	1st Street S	Opposed to taking 8 to 10' feet of our easement. The Beaches neighbors are not homogenous and to handle the trail, properly would be extremely costly. I don't believe it will make the citizens lives safer. Crime and traffic would negatively impact those of us on the proposed trail as criminals would be too close to our homes. Have you seen First Street when homes are being renovated? Construction trucks line First street all day. Where will they park when the trail goes in? Where will our friends and family park when our driveways are diminished in size? Clearly this was not well thought out.
164	1st Street S	Tearing up lawns along 1st street seems like a terrible idea
165	1st Street S	Oppose taking easement, will severely affect quality of life for many. Brings traffic too close to our house/front door. Will not be the vehicular reduction panacea that many think it will be. It will still be dangerous to cross Third Street and other heavy traffic areas. And the cost. Yes, SOME federal money has been allocated but the vast majority of the Trail is unfunded, according to my information. I do not want my tax dollars paying for this.
166	1st Street S	What's wrong with using existing roads? Why tear up roads and homeowners yards and landscaping?
167	1st Street S	This is a neighborhood not a thoroughfare we cannot increase the influx of cars and people until other improvements are made. At the present time the city has not addressed the drainage issues we continue to have as well as lack of street parking or that fact that our street is one way only. I feel these are more important issues then ease of public access to the beach.
168	1st Street S	I think the trail is a great idea but have concerns about it coming through our front yard. If the plan were adjusted to not impact Yards, I would support.
169	1st Street S	We have a busses that run in and out of downtown daily, the majority of which are nearly empty. My personal opinion is that there aren't any incentives that would motivate me or many others to utilize a trail to downtown Jacksonville. And, as far as "trails" in Jax Beach, we have one that runs the entire length of the city - the beach. And, it's free. I think our tax dollars could be better spent on something that benefits all of our residents.
170	1st Street S	no more expenses sav money lots of other trails our taxes are too high.
171	1st Street S	It sounds as if the city will be using the right of way on many streets which will obviously take away from some properties and shorten driveways which will specifically concern me. We live on a street with just one street spot, I use and need all of my driveway. I live on a one way street, since I've been here there have been 3 bike accidents that I'm aware of right in front of my house or the neighbors home. At least 2 of them had the bike driving in the wrong direction in the current bike lane. It's already sometimes difficult to back out of the driveway with bike traffic and this will just increase it. I also feel the city has not been transparent enough on this project. And what is this going to cost?????
172	1st Street S	It should have been planned better so the trail doesn't cut into our existing neighbors and peaceful beach life.
173	1st Street S	Poor design, waste of taxpayer money. Will lower property values.
174	1st Street S	I live on the west side of 1st St S between 36th and 35th -so my property is directly affected. This is a one way street already WITH an existing bike lane plus I have a public beach parking spot directly behind my driveway. It is already SO complicated to safely back out of my driveway. There are bikers, walkers, joggers, beach goers, and cars on this little stretch of road. Not to mention e-bikes flying at 25mph directly behind my driveway in the bike lane. This is extremely dangerous and unwanted here. I invite you to come try and back out of my driveway on a Saturday morning in particular. It's not fun: it's not safe. Please do not do this ur a trail on 1st St. It is already too populated and clustered and narrow. This trail would be much better in a wider two way street such as 2nd. To do this on 1st St S is ridiculous and a liability. Plus there is already a bike lane here.
175	1st Street S	Overall a bad idea, and not needed at all!
176	1st Street S	Idea is good but oppose the expansion into right-away that encroaches into current resident yards. Would prefer to use existing bicycle lanes where they exist.
177	1st Street S	As a beach native and third generation beach owner/ resident, this plan is a waste of money needed to improve Jacksonville Beach services and will disruptive to the neighborhoods that will be impacted. There is no need for an urban trail system as the beach is an area where people can easily walk and bike throughout the city. The emerald trail in Jacksonville has been a complete waste of money and this will follow in those footsteps - literally.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
178	1st Street S	Our neighborhoods were not designed for this, actually in some cases taking a large portion of the residents front yards. I know there are setbacks built in however out here at the beach the city may have been a little to liberal on allowing homes to be built to close to the setbacks. Without the setback some residents do not even have enough room to park a car. With that said I'm not sure what we are trying to accomplish. We already have sidewalks on Beach, Atlantic, Third, Jacksonville Dr., South Beach Parkway and a bike path on First. Why don't we utilize what we already have and just make those areas wider to accommodate bikes and pedestrians. Keeping the traffic out of our neighborhoods where there is little to no bath. The existing sidewalks run directly to the Beach where there is already parking area to accommodate out of town visitors. If we are doing this to boost the local economy why not keep the trail in the business areas, where it belongs, this I would think makes more sense.
179	2nd Street S	We need something like this here, we have to start doing more things for the Jax beach residents
180	2nd Street S	Project takes away property from owners and creates a safety hazard. Potential eyesore.
181	3rd Street N	Most of the people who own property and reside at the beaches do not want a trail system, including me. For the primary convenience of non-beach residents, you are proposing a trail system that will "cut thru" our neighborhoods to allow non-beach residents easier access. While our neighborhoods and properties are disrupted and thru traffic increases, projected property values increasing in the range of 1 - 2% is cited as a motivator. No Urban Trail System!
182	6th Street N	I don't think we need an urban trail system. Let people walk on beach.P
183	6th Street N	Originally I liked the idea but once I dove into how invasive it will be on so many streets / avenues as well as the environment impact, I now oppose it. I think people should continue to bike on the side of our streets as we always have.
184	6th Street N	If it had been planned before homes were built. Then you would have known but to do it now is absurd. Jax Bch has a hard time with keeping the sidewalks that exist edged and cared for. They only mow the alleys when you call and beg!! Less is more. Our new park down the street is beautiful but will turn out to be a maintenance nightmare. First Street and third Street have dead palms and unkept medians. Please be good to yourself and let it go. You put in all those crosswalks on Third Street and Penman for safety and they still cross without them.
185	6th Street N	Unnecessaary and unwarranted. If the building lots were layed out at the begining of construction of the beaches then sufficent setbacks could have been established to provide room for wide walkways and double car driveways but it was not. Expanding the walkways is a follish way to spend money and can only contribute to an allready poor drainage issue.
186	6th Street S	The CRA and Council cannot decide on downtown development issues, e.g., traffic flow, pedestrian traffic, parking, planters, addressing safety issues, how to attract and keep retail establishments, getting current land and business owners to clean up their properties, much less can they get community support for many of their ideas. This project is designed for communities much larger than the 23,400 people who actually pay taxes here. This project includes many aspects which the Council is addressing and thus duplicating, e.g., signage, and spending big money on consultants who push and sway leaders ideas. The idea of fencing in pedestrians around the golf course is very unattractive for golfers and pedestrians, and will negatively impact wildlife that lives on and around the golf course.
187	6th Street S	The urban trail encroaches on houses, lawns, front doors and families. It will allow people to be right up in the front lawn. Homeless people and people who may be up to no good have an excuse to be in your yard if that is built. Also, with all the restrictions on lot coverage with concrete and non-permeable services by putting sidewalks in long you are affecting the green environment, drainage, and the overall aesthetic look of our Beach community. The street that I live on has a retention pond down at the end of it and you guys want to make an urban trail down by the water pump and takes it by areas that don't need anymore traffic whether it's bike traffic foot traffic and what is already there.
188	6th Street S	We are a small community. I don't believe it is necessary.
189	7th Street N	Our streets are too narrow to shoehorn in a trail. It will increase crime on my corner (which already has regular police presence). I do not want a conduit from the sports bar to the beach running alongside my house. The noise will be untenable late at night, and the intersection is already dangerous with people running the stop signs and getting into altercations late at night. I have already had police ask me for Ring camera evidence several times in the past, and I do not want that to become a regular occurrence. Additionally, the proposed trail goes within 15 ft of my daughter's bedroom window and I worry for her safety and peace of mind. I loved the idea at first, but the scope is absurdly large. I think the city should focus on installing and maintaining sidewalks before attempting something so ambitious.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
190	7th Street N	I think the proposed trail is not in an appropriate place in Jacksonville beach. I would love more sidewalks and safety features for walkers/bike riders. But a trail in a residential neighborhood is not why we live in Jax beach. Our kids are outside playing football and riding bikes.. the one that is on fl blvd is great! I would say do one down penman and 10th street needs new/larger bike/walking paths.
191	7th Street N	We don't need it other than one or two main streets if that. People can ride in the middle of the road and there's no problem. It's a complete and utter waste of money. And not to mention the drainage issue that's already in place. Add to no drainage by miles and miles
192	8th Street N	I think we should improve the roads and sidewalks in out community instead. Make that the source of walking or riding Around. It just feels to me that this trail won't end up safe and doesn't seem to really fit our community either.
193	8th Street N	Better ways to spend the money. Encroaching on residence lawns.
194	8th Street N	No trail should be behind homes along ditch. Absolute minimum intrusion to any tax payer and their residence.
195	8th Street S	1. The current plan has it coming down my street. I live alone (female) and don't want to increase traffic on my street thus increasing chances of theft and personal safety. 2. I have planted multiple trees and bushes to increase shade for birds and to make it cooler since trees decrease CO2 and convert it to oxygen. 3. I am opposed to removing trees and bushes and laying down black top/tar which holds heat as well as decreases water reabsorption thus increasing chances of flooding. 4. Months increased traffic will decrease privacy and increase noise pollution of my property.
196	8th Street S	In general, I approve of the concept I do not approve of the routing currently proposed
197	9th Street N	There are plenty of other projects that COJB could implement with greater benefit for the Community Residents.
198	10th Street N	I believe the current sidewalks allow for enough interconnected use as is. The overall design of this project encroaches too much of the easement, and will alter much of the entire look of properties. It will also create parking issues for those who already have little to no frontage. It creates a problem for future improvement projects for water line replacement, and potential underground electrical conversion.
199	10th Street N	Wide asphalt walkways do not fit our neighborhoods.
200	10th Street N	I'm not a fan of a larger sidewalk going through my property.
201	10th Street S	Do no give up my property for bike riders. Streets in neighborhoods wide adequate For bikes. First Street 2nd Street should be paid and a bike lane. Strategically placed crossing third Street headed to the beach walkways.
202	10th Street S	Where/who did you obtain the info from that people were interested in having the trail? I would like to know if your plans were completed by just looking at a map? Did you then go out & look at the streets you had selected? Did you utilize the streets that already have sidewalks? Some streets are not feasible. I think the plan is too extensive. I think that people that own the homes on the trail should have the most influence about deciding to have the trails or not having them. You should talk or correspond directly with the people that the trails will impact.
203	11th Street N	I don't feel it's necessary and we have other priorities
204	12th Street N	Don't need the expense. It's not a necessity.
205	16th Street N	I don't think we really have room for one. We would have to take away from people property or the road.
206	16th Street N	Waste of money. The project will only benefit a small minority.de
207	18th Street N	Money can be spent improving sidewalks and current roads Without encroaching on peoples land or property, our community is basically one big urban Trail maybe even add brighter lights so that you can see at night for safer walks or bike rides
208	18th Street N	Very few people that live on the streets this will be on support it. Are you even listening to them at all? It will bring unnecessary people into the neighborhoods and the trail will be adjacent to privately owned property. It imposes on the privacy of the residents and will bring unwanted individuals way too close to their homes. There is plenty of open space on the East side of A1A for people to walk and enjoy the beach. That is where a trail should lead them to so they support local businesses while at the beach. This is also a waste of taxpayer money as there of absolutely no need got this trail. Again, any trail should be on the east side of A1A, NOT through residential neighborhoods.
209	19th Street N	Eight feet is more than the current easement, so these paths will take up some private property too in addition to city property. Although I'm not impacted directly, there are already homeless people in the woods and walking up and down 2nd Avenue North. I think the city should focus on the flooding streets, like mine, the homeless problem, and general public safety. The Urban Trail project doesn't seem to do anything to make our community safer.
210	19th Street N	1. Seen in city's where they have more asphalt/pavement area temp is higher 2. Will encroach into to limited yard space and lose privacy 3. Opportunistic crime will be an issue 4. Far more economical ways to improve city. (Fix existing sidewalks

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
211	20th Street N	I support enhancing pedestrian traffic in areas where sidewalks are already exist. I don't feel it necessary to add large sidewalks down quiet neighborhood streets where pedestrians can already travel safely.
212	20th Street N	As a frequent pedestrian/dog walker/bicyclist throughout our city (for fun and exercise), it does not seem necessary, at least through the areas west of Penman and north of Beach Boulevard. There is minimal foot/bicycle traffic. Golf carts appear to be the preferred mode of transportation. Sidewalks through these neighborhoods might be a better solution, especially for the few children who ride their bicycles to school. The potential loss of 15 ft on two sides of my property is deeply concerning given the lack of pedestrian/cyclist use in this area. I am especially disappointed in the communication around this project. I found out about it by a Neptune Beach resident. In 30 years of living and voting in this city, I have never felt the need to attend city council meetings to "keep an eye on" our local elected officials, until now. It is a shame that it has come to that.
213	22nd Street N	I don't know if it really serves a purpose that would eclipse the resentment of homeowners whose properties the project would be traversing.
214	23rd Street N	I saw the proposed route and it cut through peoples front yards
215	23rd Street N	I am not 100% against trails. I am against 90% of the proposed routes and believe it is a total waste of money. The trails adversely impact the historical character of the Besches. I've lived here for 50 years!
216	23rd Street N	I feel that it will increase crime in the vicinity of the trail, and bring it to neighborhoods that have intentionally avoided higher crime rates. also, construction and traffic issues caused by its development.
217	America Ave	The money could be used to fix existing sidewalks that are in normal size. Or better yet how about paving the roads that are in such terrible condition!
218	America Ave	I feel it will negatively impact home owners/property that the trail is built on. And to be honest I don't think it will be used as much as we would hope.
219	Antigua Dr	The changes that have been made on S Beach - reduction in trees has increased the noise significantly. If we lose more of our natural resources and sound buffers we are reducing our quality of life in Jax Beach. The plan is far too vast.
220	Arden Way	Due to the increase of golf cart use and e-bikes the trail would have little use legally.
221	Arden Way	Ruins property values, takes away some of your usable property, and lessens parking. I wouldn't want constant traffic in front of my house every day. I currently live on a curve and we are constantly picking up trash in our yard, now image all the walkers leaving trash on the trail in front of houses. Don't want all those strangers patrolling in front of residential areas.
222	Arden Way	Available finding needs to be focused on infrastructure improvements. With the approval of multiple multi dwelling units, the beaches are becoming overcrowded. My road is crumbling, drainage needs to be improved. I am not interested in becoming another Nocatee.
223	Arden Way	This would greatly affect my neighborhood. I do not want strangers in my backyard. You will not have police walking these paths to keep the homeless away. They will hide and sleep in these paths. I will not feel safe in my own neighborhood. I strongly disagree with this.
224	Arden Way	The road is fine without roundabouts. The project should include fixing drainage and adding bike path. But no roundabouts.
225	Azalea Drive	Unnecessary
226	Barbara Lane	Trail is being built to close to peoples property. area behind our house is listed for a supposed trail yet has a steep incline.
227	Barbara Lane	current routes do not appear to take aspects of roads, population nor businesses into consideration when chosen.
228	Beach Blvd	One of the benefits of living at the beach is being able to bike or walk to areas in the community. Jax is notorious for pedestrians being hit on roads, this helps address safety and mobility other than cars.
229	Bentin Dr S	I think it's a lot of money so that people can go for a walk or bike ride. In general, I haven' really see that many people out walking or riding in my 11 years at Jacksonville Beach and didn't either when I lived 0 years in Neptune or 11 years in Atlantic Beaches. I sounds nice, but will it really be used by the majority?
230	Bentin Dr S	Not the improvement we need here at the beach.
231	Blue Heron Lane	Very concerned about security. Would need to be monitored by police 24/7. Additional expense to city and therefore more taxes.
232	Blue Heron Lane	Don't spendmy taxpayer's money on it!
233	Blue Heron Lane	I've lived in my home for 25 years, and been part of the community for 28. In that time, I've not known anyone who has requested or required such a venture. We ride our bikes and walk the neighborhoods not only to travel toward the beach, but also to see and socialize with our neighbors. An "Urban Trail", in name alone, doesn't sound like it would bring the community together. From what I've heard from city officials is that it's more of a "everyone else is doing it so we need to do it". I don't think that's tax dollars well spent!
234	Blue Heron Lane	We don't need to spend money on destroying our beaches and natural beauty.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
235	Blue Heron Lane	Security of our neighborhood
236	Blue Heron Lane	I disagree with all this plan's installment of impervious surfaces in natural areas. There is complete disregard for the increased flooding in Jacksonville Beach caused by paved over natural habitat. There are too few green spaces at the beach currently and this plan involves Tearing down trees and natural berms for a paved bike path.
237	Blue Heron Lane	I oppose the current plan. I am in favor of a trail system but it needs to be thought out and take the home owners and locals perspective into account. You just spent over a year redoing a portion of Jacksonville Drive which was a major inconvenience for ppl.
238	Bonaire Circle	I think the current plan is complicated and requires homeowners to sacrifice privacy and potentially negative property values for the trail system. Certain areas along Jacksonville Drive (for example) makes sense to widen as there is little impact. Residents do not want the excess ROW to be used for 10' wide sidewalks that reduce useable front yards and serves little purpose to most residents. City would be better off to widen the roads to allow for a bike lane if they are concerned for bike safety. Trail safety has been an issue for many of the newer master planned communities.
239	Coastal Ave	From what I hear it will be cutting through some residence taking out some of their yards even though it may be city right of way. Not sure without actual specific details of project.
240	Coconut Key	Use this money on something else. People can get to the beach safely already on Jacksonville Drive.
241	Declaration Dr	Not needed waste of tax payers dollars
242	Driftwood Rd	Round abouts along Penman will not ease traffic congestion nor will they keep pedestrians and bicyclists safe. How about engaging the law enforcement offices to actually enforce the speed limits and crossings of pedestrians and bikers? The implementation of a median instead of the turn lane we have now, will only delay emergency vehicles trying to get to the scene or to the hospital. The money should be re allocated for drainage projects and better traffic control.
243	Duval Drive	This neighborhood is an older established neighborhood. There is nowhere to put the trail... Looking at the map. It's obvious no one has driven through the neighborhood... It would go through people's driveways. It's ridiculous. It will not work in this neighborhood.
244	Evans Drive	There's nothing wrong with our current sidewalks other than private homeowners needing the cut back bushes that block the sidewalks.
245	Fairway Lane	1. Where I live is a high traffic and speeding area due to people using it as a short cut for cars between Beach Blvd and JTB. 2. A lot of these trails are proposed for small streets causing a potential loss of land for home owners. 3. Could increase property crime.
246	Foss Ln	We have sidewalks in place. If the proposed crossing signals go in then in theory that should help with safety.
247	Gail Ave	I am not sure it's a great use of tax dollars. There are areas in Jax Beach that could use the funding over the urban trail. I am confident the disruption to resident's properties isn't worth it.
248	Gonzales Avenue	This is a waste of tax payer dollars and takes away from green space that is already lacking due to over development
249	Great Abaco Ct	Safety for residents; homeless utilization and unsafe proximity to homes
250	Heron Dr	Greater need for more and improved parks.
251	Holly Dr.	taking away people's driveway parking for this trail is not feasible. People will still park blocking the trail. residents don't have enough front yard to take away part of parking. I don't foresee anyone using this trail. garbage cans, cars and yard pickup will be in the way causing problem for anyone that used it. a
252	Holly Dr.	Need better communication to the residents on how it would impact traffic and which homes would be effected by taking their right of way to convert to path. I am concerned about lowering the property values for homes directly on the path.
253	Hopson Road	Urban trails are a great asset when they are a forethought when developing a community. If feels like were building it because we can get grant money and not because of community requests.
254	Hopson Road	Taking away the ability to park in front of your house. Having people come down to a dead end road. something happening under
255	Hopson Road	This is being done as an after thought just where you can throw it in. Seems like it is being done because you found some grant to take advantage of. Just because there is a grant doesn't mean you have to take it or make us take it. Urban trails seem like a neat idea when they are the first thing in the community not the last. We have places with no sidewalks why can't we just make sure every neighborhood can safely walk? Taking away limited parking is another issue I have seen for those that will be stuck with it in front of their house. Where should they and their guests then park? And maintenance!! All other city projects seem to be one and done with no maintenance plan. How much are you budgeting for monthly/annual maintenance? Don't want it looking like all of our signs. Drainage also an issue sounds like a lot of surface run off added to an already strained system.
256	Isabella Blvd	When did any of this spending get approved by tax payers. Each Contractor, Engineer that worked on these plans ,City Managers, You should be held personally liable of mismanaging our tax money.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
257	Isabella Blvd	I think it is a waste of taxpayer dollars! Disrupts local neighborhoods and is not necessary. I go on nature walks with my grandchildren and there is always the beach.
258	Jardin De Mer Place	Who is paying for it? Some neighbors feel it is infringing on their property. And your paperwork doesn't really explain what is going to change at each of the sidewalk locations.
259	Jardin De Mer Place	Seems like a lot
260	Jardin De Mer Place	It would be extremely disruptive for the people who live near the proposed trail.
261	Lakeside Drive	too ambitious of a plan....too intrusive in neighborhoods that don't want the solitude of their neighborhoods disrupted
262	Lakeside Drive	Too much property is being taken and we have existing streets.
263	Liberty Lane	I live in the back of the neighborhood where the proposed trail ends near Jacksonville Drive. There is no space on Jacksonville Drive for the trail, unless you're moving into easements. I do not believe there is a need for this to extend all the way to America Avenue. The people living in these neighborhoods should not have a problem accessing the beach.
264	Liberty Lane	I saw a map somewhere showing a trail going along the ditch behind the houses on Liberty Ln. There's already sketchy cars/people parking at the dead end of Osceola at the gate to Sanctuary near where Liberty Ln intersects. Jacksonville Dr already has a giant sidewalk. The most recent construction along Jacksonville drive made that road terrible. It's impossible to turn on or off Jacksonville drive without hitting a curb. The design is awful, just stop messing with it. The people along 4th N, 9th N, and 15th N are losing half their front yards and seems like it will make parking much worse and create visibility issues.
265	Lois Lane	We don't need the added expense of this trail.
266	Lower 36th Ave S	Safety concerns for foot and motorized bike traffic, especially at our street entry where there is NO visibility of the sidewalk. Increased use of motorized transportation(bikes, skateboards, etc) by unsupervised minors going breakneck speeds, ignoring any rules, zigzagging from street to sidewalk and back again.. Increasing this problem we already have by giving them an open speedway. Lack of enforcement for the crowds of people coming to a quiet residential area of the beach(37th Ave) and lack of protection for our precious Dunes! Much more mayhem crossing 3rd ST than already exists in the evenings and on weekends. A1A traffic sailing through with more active youngsters and hot impatient visitors in a hurry to cross. Infringing on residents property and land, even though you view it as within your scope of power.
267	Lower 36th Ave S	Money could be used elsewhere such as paving roads-would create too much congestion-golf carts would be using the trail
268	Lower 8th Ave S	I have walked around the beaches for years. People walk in the streets, on sidewalks, and beach. Don't need miles of trails going on easement. Having a boardwalk extended along ocean would make more sense. Also it has been repeatedly discussed to extend the walk along 12th Ave. Ditch around the ends and along the other side.
269	Lower 8th Ave S	Everything I've read it bring unwanted traffic in areas that don't have extra space, it's just another instance of the city not listening to its residence, a concern I would have is the growing homeless population the city does NOTHING about wondering the trail and breaking into peoples personal cars etc
270	Marsh Landing Blvd	We already have sidewalks and mostly lightly traveled roadways. We don't need anything special
271	Marsh Landing Blvd	It's a danger to those using it and a imposition to those who reside in its path.
272	McClure Ln	This trail is proposed to go directly in front of our house which would bring people into our street. Don't want foot traffic as we have young kids
273	McClure Ln	I don't want more foot traffic in front of our house.
274	McClure Ln	I do not support.
275	Millie Dr	The cost. The money could be better spent on fixing the dips in some of the roads that are getting deeper. I believe there is leakage that is eroding under some streets.
276	Millie Dr	In my opinion it's a waste of money and resources.
277	Norberta way	Cuts off driveways and intrudes on personal property
278	Oakbreeze Ln	Dislike city government infringing upon any homeowner's property.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
279	Oakbreeze Ln	trail on Jax Dr , passing over 3rd St to PV drive is deadly . Jax Dr is high traffic especially turning into the city park. It seems the city is using private property. Will the city conduct cycling education?? In 2 days, 4 bicycles pulled out in front of our car without looking. Lucky we were on alert and driving under 25 mph. I don't believe this current proposed plan will be safe or please homeowners. The plan travels on 3 very busy city arteries and cross streets.
280	Oakwood Rd	Takes away property and privacy
281	Oakwood Rd	Jacksonville has lots of trails. We do not need bike paths through residential neighborhoods. We have sidewalks.
282	Oakwood Rd	Through neighborhoods that are not designed for or interested in trailing/browsing/trashing by non-residents.
283	Oakwood Rd	The beach is a beautiful, fragile ecosystem that we all love and cherish! The things that make the beach special are disappearing every day because of constant development. This project will remove lawns, gardens and the sense of beach community we all love. By creating these "trails" all you're doing is taking from nature pouring MORE concrete on an already dying landscape. We need to preserve everything we can including people's front lawns!
284	Oakwood Rd	Bikers will still go in the roads the trail is just going to add more cement to our community and walkers will be overrun with e-bikes.
285	Oakwood Rd	The beaches are already about that lifestyle and sharing the road we don't need to spend tax dollars to cater golf cart owners. I think there are much better things to focus on, for instance promoting actual outdoor activities with nature trails we don't need urban trails
286	Ocean Cay Cir.	I think this is a waste of tax payer money. I also have friends who own homes along the proposed trail location. I totally understand their worries about increased foot traffic in front of their homes and concerns that their property values decreased. The proposed location also raises concerns for me regarding increased vehicle and foot traffic along areas near South Beach Parkway. With the most recent scheduling fiasco of this past week with the ramp to JTB being done during a Friday, it is plain to see how easily the beaches can become a haven of chaos with change. It also seems like this could increase crime in our area.
287	Ocean Drive	The city already doesn't maintain these areas. The easement behind us is the city's responsibility but we have been mowing it for 15 years. The area we can't mow is over 3 feet tall. To get it mowed we have to email the ditch people for months. They send someone out eventually that mows the area we maintain which is obvious and ignore the area we requested and then we start the process all over. The city can't even maintain a ditch how will they keep these areas mowed. What about the homeless people roaming giving them path access behind homes to the next area? What about bum camps? What do you do to know the in maintained areas aren't filled with sex offenders and you have kids riding on them? How do you keep people from peeping in your fence? The most important though is the maintaining claim will not happen. Drive around and look at the areas that are the city's responsibility.
288	Ocean Drive	Too disruptive to existing properties. Not needed. Waste of money.
289	Ocean Pond Ct.	Security and maintenance concerns
290	Osceola Ave	For what we're gonna get is not worth disturbing to hold Beach community there's so many other things that need to be addressed. It's going to be end up a expressway for golf carts and electric bikes people walking on this trail. I'm gonna be taking their life in their hands, it's people neighborhood makes no sense. We have sidewalks adequate streets now without pest everyone off. Have not heard anyone say that was great.
291	Owen Ave	We have beautiful park with swing slides roundabouts skate board park walking area. The amount of people from outside our area is absolutely unreal. Why do you want to bring in more people to our neighborhoods. Put us in danger. Oh by the way we pay the taxes and will have to pay for the upkeep of this and you are taking away our privacy. People walking past our homes at any time of the day. No Thank You
292	Palm Circle	I do not approve of building on or through someone's yard.
293	Palm Circle	There is no need for an urban trail with the existing sidewalks. Its also not right for homeowners to have a path cutting right into their property with constant traffic including the ebikes and golf carts. Its a waste of money for something that myself and my neighbors never asked for.
294	Palm Tree Rd	I think we're taking away people's front yard space. Please don't do it!!! If anything...add more side walks and crosswalks to busy intersections. Upgrade the parks like you're already doing. Keep the beaches clean. Add the money to the police to keep our city safe.
295	Palm Way	Waste of money and doesnt work given the beaches layout
296	Palm Way	Inappropriate for the beaches cities. And unnecessary. Its a fix in search of a problem.
297	Palm Way	Too many people are losing the right of way. We don't need 8 foot long side walks on every block for people to be able to bike Jax beach.
298	Patricia Lane	Totally against roundabouts and the plans for Penman. The current roads and sidewalks need fixed before ya put in extras.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
299	Patricia Lane	I don't want my yard space taken away
300	Patricia Lane	I've lived in Jacksonville Beach my entire life and have been walking my dogs throughout the Beaches area for years. I'm not convinced that widening sidewalks will significantly increase usage. In fact, I see many existing sidewalks that the city neglects to maintain. I'm concerned that the city may not be able to properly maintain new sidewalks either.
301	Patricia Lane	too costly
302	Penman Road	Trails do not belong in front of ppl houses. They belong along rivers, parks, woods
303	Penman Road	Don't see it being used
304	Penman Road	I have lived on Penman Road for 30 years and I don't think it is going to change anything
305	Pinewood Rd	Waste of taxpayer money when we need to widen Penman Road for vehicle traffic.
306	Pinewood Rd	Yards aren't big enough in certain locations, no room for driveways
307	Pinewood Rd	It will bring unwanted traffic to the beach. Parking will be a huge problem. I don't want parking in my neighborhood. It will increase crime, and create problems for homeowners. On, or near the trail.
308	Pintail Dr	No more projects in Jax beach to bring in more people or traffic
309	Plantation Oaks Dr	I feel it's not necessary. Too broad with no input from enough citizens. Was not put out to the public.
310	Plantation Oaks Dr	OPPOSED- The families whose homes are directly impacted by the trail track will lose part of their ability for safety. The construction of the trail is literally inviting strangers into the front yards of our local families/tax payers! OPPOSED- Loss of privacy for homes "on the trail". Once again, the public(aka strangers) brought into the LITERAL front yards of local residents. This will restrict the comfortability o use significant portions our properties. We really do not want this in our community.
311	Plantation Oaks Dr	Our roads and sidewalks need maintenance; and we have not been given enough information to know how the city will enforce rules of the trail. As of now, E-bikes are not being kept off the sidewalks.
312	Ponte Vedra Blvd	The beach is our 'urban trail'. There is no need for additional, disruptive construction in Jacksonville Beach.
313	Ponte Vedra Blvd	Don't need it! Takes up too much of yards and streets.
314	Pullian Court	I looked at the pictures and honestly do not see the value. We have bike able roads and sidewalks nearly everywhere the trail is proposed. Is this simply a new paved trail? What are we really getting? What is the opportunity cost? I would vote for enrichment (like this trail) and maintenance of current property. The work done on the parks has been great. How about some showers at the beach access to wash the sand off yourself? Not seeing the value of this trail.
315	Republic Drive	I do not want strangers to be encouraged to walk around in my quiet crime free neighborhood. This is a very bad idea.
316	Royal Palm Key	Increased pedestrian traffic Homeless use Loss of tree buffer from adjoining residential property Increased city maintenance cost Already have Sunshine Park for outdoor activities
317	San Pablo Cir N	It brings more Townies to our beach . I'm all for larger sidewalks for our kids to get to school and ride their bikes safely . I'm not for connecting these paths with Jacksonville and the Urban Trail project is not the way to go.
318	San Pablo Cir N	Too much concrete. No way to control bicycle speeds either pedaling or electric. Not going to improve mobility. People that reside next to the path will park their vehicles on the path as they have nowhere else to park. Waste of money as we will gain nothing. Why don't we reduce property taxes by spending less money?
319	San Pablo Cir N	I currently oppose because, to the best of my knowledge a plan was devised without proper community input. The plan is very costly and included putting the path directly in the front yard of neighbors, causing them to lose lawn space and privacy.
320	Sanctuary Way N	To expensive and to invasive to peoples homes. Worried it will not be maintained properly. My street has not been paved in years and it looks terrible. The liability to the city is also a concern. There are already too many people all over the place in Jacksonville Beach. People drive like crazy, add in golf carts, electric and regular bikes and it is a disaster. People already complain there is not enough parking at the South Beach park. The people that live here have trouble getting to the beach and restaurants. People these days are so rude, I doubt they will share the sidewalk with others and be courteous. Enough is enough!
321	Sanctuary Way S	An Urban trail would have been great if it was incorporated when neighborhoods were built but at this point it's more like infringement on people's property and messing with their ability to park at there homes
322	Sanctuary Way S	I don't want people I don't know walking through my neighborhood.

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
323	Seabreeze Ave	Urban Trails, (UT), does not benefit local residents they only benefit Townies, Tourists and criminals seeking crimes of opportunity. We have a small beach town and it is not set up for UT. We have no room to accommodate out of town "lookie lou's" gawkers and a bicycling criminal element to ride around in our neighborhoods looking for trouble. In addition, no one wants UT in an over-developing beaches area. Scrap UT, focus on things we want here, not things we don't. Focus on beaches residents, we live here and pay taxes here. Stop trying to be Daytona Beach. We are not. We are a small beach town with a thriving little business/bar district and some hotels. Get rid of the UT effort, everyone hates it.
324	Seabreeze Ave	We need to back off of all development in the beaches area until we can accurately decide on the damage to personal life and mode of living. ALL our so called elected officials need to hear, listen, and heed the cries of their constituents!
325	Seabreeze Ave	This will involve too much traffic through the neighborhoods which already have too much traffic already or very little traffic now but will increase due to this project. The natural habits which already are limited due to the increase in development will all but dissappear with asphalt paths which are 8 feet wide. This will add additional pollution to our already over taxed ground water and natural land and water habitats. This path goes no where in particular. It's not a path that leads to the beach or to a park, it just winds around with no purpose except to add money to the developers pocket and headaches for the citizens in the quiet neighborhoods. I personally do not want any more traffic in my neighborhood with rude bike riders and cars that do not obey the traffic laws, take up the whole road and doesn't move over for motor vehicle traffic, that currently are not enforced now, including the changes that were made in the traffic laws on 1st street. The roads were originally made for motor vehicle traffic. I have no problem sharing the roads with bikes, but I do not agree if them taking over the roadways.
326	Seabreeze Ave	Mostly in favor BUT very concerned about ongoing maintenance. Our existing sidewalks are in terrible shape, some made worse by the IQ Fiber installation and their atrocious repair job where they tore up the sidewalks. The concrete markers for blind citizens at crosswalks are peeling up and coming apart all over Jax Beach, and they are a major trip hazard for everyone.
327	Seabreeze Ave	There are some roads this MAY work on but putting the trail through front yards is not a good idea mostly for safety reasons. Living one house from the corner we need adequate space to back up because, pedestrians, cars, bikes come around that corner quickly. The addition to the trail will be extremely dangerous. All the cars in driveways will now be parked on the road, that will look terrible and create more blind spots. Also, will take away from all the neighbors that put time and money into making there yards appealing which is good for the overall city. I understand it is city property and I also understand due to looking at the city overall, the city does not regularly maintain the roads/sidewalks/medians in place - focus on that first. I regularly go down 16th S, which is on the plan, spend some time watching that road, it is already a mess, now you want to put a trail system through there, so more cars parked on the road and more blind spots things to dodge especially on trash day. This is a project for the beginning of creating an area (Nocatee for example) not established neighborhoods, it just does not make sense. We have all been riding/walking this area for decades, it is easy to navigate and lights, crosswalks in several areas. I still see people on a regular basis crossing 3rd one street over from the designated NEW walkways.
328	Seagate Avenue	I particularly do not want a bridge built connecting Seagate Avenue across the water. I bought and built on Seagate west of the waterway to enjoy the quiet peaceful neighborhood. It is safer here without so many people having access to our neighborhood. I am also opposed to the Penman roundabout. The traffic management will be much worse.
329	Seaside Dr E	I see no benefit, but I feel like it's going to be a large expense for the city.
330	Seaside Dr E	No true environmental impact study done. People still walk more on the north side of Jacksonville Dr as opposed to the wider south side. No real need here. Goes way too far.
331	Silver Palm Dr	Too much construction and to much elimination of private property.
332	South Beach Pkwy	It does not appear that there are any North/South routes planned. There is no good way to get from south Jax beach to north Jax beach for people living west of A1A. 3rd St? Not safe because of parked cars. SB pkwy and 9th street? Not safe
333	South Beach Pkwy	Displacing local residents for people coming to USE our tax money that only benefits them. Our local economy is fine.
334	South Beach Pkwy	While I don't see an excessive amount of pedestrians, Ebikes and bicycles are everywhere. The Urban Trail would invite even more of that traffic!

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
335	St Johns Blvd	Further reduction and harm to the few natural areas that exist at the beaches. The benefit to and use by people will go to those who do not live at the beach or pay the beach taxes, thus further increasing our vehicle traffic while decreasing parking, free movement, and safety (personal safety and traffic safety), plus taking our city funding.
336	St Johns Blvd	My neighborhood is directly impacted since I live by south beach park which is directly involved in this project. How about designing projects at other places in jax Bch besides south beach park vicinity. Maybe through downtown area so folks can stop for refreshments or the reason folks come here is for fun at the beach, use the boardwalk instead of the park!
337	St Johns Blvd	The city needs to make up their mind what they want to be. A nice quiet beach town or one full of bars, hotels, McMansions and attempts by Mayor to ease height restrictions. A bike trail does not lend it self to the latter.
338	Tanglewood Rd	Fire and rescue services will be slowed to my neighborhood. Simply fixing the timing of the lights on Florida blvd would create less traffic. How about you maintain the side walks we already have before making larger ones for you to neglect like you do the current one. Can we get streetlights on these dark streets before you add golfcarts and electric bikes eeverywhere!
339	Tanglewood Rd	There are far better things that our city tax dollars can be applied to. The urban trail system is a scam to get other less needed projects funded. This benefits the few and burdens the many!
340	Tanglewood Rd	I do NOT believe that an urban trail should be built in a local neighborhood by any means. Building this inside the neighborhoods are opening up issues and access to backyards. Some of the trails will lead to access to backyards in the neighborhood off Penman Road-Ocean Forest which is absolutely ridiculous. This was not a well thought out plan. I feel it is opening up issues of safety for local residence when it is not being used properly and you know there will be some that do not use it properly. This could also negatively impact our values of our properties.
341	The Greens Way	Ruins to many peoples property values
342	The Greens Way	This will bring more crime from areas of Jacksonville that have more crime, drugs and guns to our area at the Beach
343	The Greens Way	Loss of property values
344	The Greens Way	Jax Beach is overcrowded already with insufficient parking.
345	The Greens Way	Trails are great, but they hook things together like sidewalks which gives people who aren't as law as most people a chance to be somewhere like on a sidewalk in front of your house or a trail trail Behind your house, for me, it brings things you don't want closer to your house like crime
346	Theodore Ave	The overall plan is expansive, and many residents know little about the plan. From my research, it seems the current administration simply wanted this plan, despite vocal residents negative input.
347	Tradewinds Dr	Keep the beaches from become industrialized. The rail system would have take away from the beauty. No one would you it
348	Trident Court	The trail will be an animal killer at the south end. I live at the south end of Trident Court. We own the lot south of our home. This is the only undeveloped lot in area. We have raccoons. possums, snakes, etc. They visit our patio every day. Some of these animals come to eat any food they can find. We have an outside cat that lives in the lot and our home. Once the area is cleared except the 25 feet left untouched along our westside the animals will come to our lot or travel down the road. Many will be killed on South Beach Parkway. This happened when Ocean Cay was developed. Noise will increase on Tropical Terrace. Since the end of the trail ends across Jacksonville Drive I feel that someone will want to finish their workout and not see a fast moving vehicle on Jacksonville Drive. they could be injured or worse. I am a long-time runner and I know how dangerous running can be with fast moving vehicles that don't expect walkers, runners or families with children.
349	Upper 36th Ave S	The money should be spent elsewhere.
350	Upper 8th Ave S	Additional amount of blacktop will do noting but increase overall temperatures which are already unbearable in hotter months. These trails will NOT BE UTILIZED the way you think as there is already no interest of these areas currently being utilized as walking or biking routes. Additionally our paved roads are currently buckling due to heat and extreme weather. Nothing being done about it but yet: Hey let's add more blacktop and spend millions when we instead could fix what needs fixing first! Why can't you concentrate instead on getting all the current roads fixed? And how about overhead wires being put underground? This would greatly reduce damages and power outages when torrential storms hit. Better ways to spend our money.
351	Upper 8th Ave S	Limited information gets limited support. The concept sounds good but the implications seem troubling. There has been no impact study done just out right doing it without a study
352	Westwind drive	It will become the "HOMELESS HIGHWAY" bring unnecessary non-residents through quiet neighborhoods that we have collectively ALL paid more to live there, to get away from transients, whether they're "good" or "bad", we DON'T WANT STRANGERS IN OUR BACKYARDS

ATTACHMENT 2: Urban Trails Survey 2024

#	Street	Comments that OPPOSE the project
353	Westwind drive	Unnecessary, will be hot on asphalt, will attract homeless in areas where there are trees hiding the trail, reduce people's already small property, electric bikes will be dangerous and on the paths, easier for people to get away with theft etc, dogs will be on black asphalt and burn feet versus the sidewalk today which is concrete and probably almost hit by a biker jogger etc
354	Williams Street	Not needed. People prefer additional golf cart parking. People are too lazy to ride a bike or walk, just look at the increase in the number of electric bikes!
355	Woodstork court	With the beach and local roadways already supportive of pedestrian traffic I see no need to destroy more wildlife areas to add more blacktop. This is not something beach residents support or think is needed. The city council will do what they want like they always do but this is NOT in the best interests of the beaches.